

## Wildlife Judging Appendix D

## Senior Wildlife Management Plan Scoring Rubric

Judges will use this rubric when scoring the Senior Wildlife Management Plans (3-A).

A high(er) score indicates the team is accurate and proficient in the content. A mid-range score indicates the team is progressing toward proficiency, but key content information was excluded. A low(er) score indicates the team is not accurate or developing their proficiencies related to this topic.

Part I:	lan Background (10 points maximum)	r
□ Accu	rately identified the wildlife species to be managed and accurately identified the ement objectives	<b>r</b>
Part II:	Plan Development (50 points maximum)	p
□ Dem	onstrated understanding of the habitat needs of each species (20 points)	
□ Accu (20 po	ately evaluated the area as habitat for each species (what is present and what is	lackir
	fied native plant species or nonnative invasive species (10 points)	
Part III	Plan Implementation (30 points maximum)	r
□ Inclu	led the appropriate management practices (10 points)	
□ Dem	onstrated knowledge of the effect of various management practices on habitat a (10 points)	nd/or
	gnized the management compromises necessary to meet the needs of each spec understanding of the mutual benefits of implementing certain practices (10 poi	
Part IV	Plan Evaluation (15 points maximum)	r
□ Pres	nted realistic methods for monitoring the success of the recommendations (15 $\mu$	oints
Part V	Format and Drawing (20 points maximum)	
□ Pres	nted in the appropriate narrative format (10 points)	
□ Inclu	led a drawing or sketch of the area, reflecting the recommended management p	oractio
and wl	ere they should be implemented (10 points)	
	TOTAL SCORE (125 points maximum):	po
		P\

