

Junior Wildlife Management Plan Scoring Rubric

Judges will use this rubric when scoring the Junior Wildlife Management Plans (3-A).

A high(er) score indicates the team is accurate and proficient in the content. A mid-range score indicates the team is progressing toward proficiency, but key content information was excluded. A low(er) score indicates the team is not accurate or developing their proficiencies related to this topic.

Team: _____

Judge: _____

Part I: Plan Background (5 points maximum) _____ points <input type="checkbox"/> Accurately identified the wildlife species to be managed and accurately identified the management objectives
Part II: Plan Development (40 points maximum) _____ points <input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrated understanding of the habitat needs of each species (20 points) <input type="checkbox"/> Accurately evaluated the area as habitat for each species (what is present and what is lacking) (20 points)
Part III: Plan Implementation (40 points maximum) _____ points <input type="checkbox"/> Included the appropriate management practices (20 points) <input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrated knowledge of the effect of various management practices on habitat and/or the species (20 points)
Part IV: Plan Evaluation (20 points maximum) _____ points <input type="checkbox"/> Presented realistic methods for monitoring success of the recommendations (20 points)
Part V: Aerial Map (20 points maximum) _____ points <input type="checkbox"/> Included a drawing or sketch of the area, reflecting the recommended management practices and where they should be implemented (20 points)
TOTAL SCORE (125 points maximum): _____ points